

ICC Standards for Sustainable Trade and Sustainable Trade Finance

Progress update and outcomes from Wave 1 pilot





ICC (2023), ICC Standards for Sustainable Trade and Sustainable Trade Finance – Progress update and outcomes from Wave 1 pilot. <u>https://iccwbo.org/news-publications/policies-reports/icc-standards-for-sustainable-trade-and-sustainable-trade-finance-wave-1-framework/</u>.

Copyright © 2023 International Chamber of Commerce

All rights reserved. ICC holds all copyright and other intellectual property rights in this work. No part of this work may be reproduced, distributed, transmitted, translated or adapted in any form or by any means, except as permitted by law, without the written permission of ICC. Permission can be requested from ICC through <u>publications@iccwbo.org</u>

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

Table of contents

Context	4
Key findings from Wave 1 pilot	4
Implications for Wave 2 framework	6
Next steps	6
Acknowledgments	7

Context

Following the launch of the Wave 1 framework in November 2022, the ICC Standards for Sustainable Trade and Sustainable Trade Finance (STFD) programme launched a pilot with a number of leading banks, corporates and technology players to test the Wave 1 framework in a real-world setting with real customers and transactions. The purpose of this brief paper is to provide an update on this pilot, key learnings, implications for the Wave 2 framework and next steps.

The objectives of the Wave 1 pilot were as follows:

- Test the Wave 1 framework in a real-world setting, with real clients and real data
- Understand how the framework should work in practice, and the practicalities of its implementation
- Gain a view of what support banks and corporates will require from ICC
- Better understand the 'distribution of outcomes' from the framework
- · Identify opportunities to enhance the framework ahead of its wider launch

Overall, the pilot was a successful exercise, with over 30 leading trade banks involved over a four- to five-month period, each joining regular 'Pulse Check' calls with ICC and BCG stakeholders, as well as representatives from trade and supply chain finance technology and fintech players, and a small number of corporates. The trade banks involved typically selected a small number of clients and applied the framework to a handful of transactions to test the applicability and outcomes of the framework, reporting results to ICC.

Key findings from Wave 1 pilot

By engaging heavily with pilot participants, ICC was able to gain nuanced feedback on the Wave 1 framework and shape these into a number of insightful learnings. While feedback was largely positive in terms of the intention, scope and framework methodology, the majority of participants had concerns around the complexity of the assessment and incentives for participation, which will need to be addressed in the next iteration.

Overarching learnings are summarised below:

- **Product scope:** The Wave 1 framework was initially designed to be applied to short-term 'flow' trade products, as the majority of existing sustainable finance standards cannot be applied to such transactions (e.g. ICMA, LMA principles). While this is still the case, there was some challenge that the framework should be able to cover the full suite of trade and supply chain finance products so that no trade division (e.g. of a bank) will need to unnecessarily apply multiple methodologies. From an ICC perspective, we believe this is possible with some minor adjustments and clarifications.
- **Coods vs. manufacturer:** Participants observed that for some goods, standards apply to the manufacturer rather than the goods themselves; this is particularly the case in agriculture related to textiles (e.g. cotton farmers). As such, participants requested that the framework should allow any standards applied to a whole 'manufacturer' to be used in place of standards that just apply to the 'goods'.

- Transaction purpose: ICC introduced the 'purpose' of a transaction as a component of trade assessed in the framework to recognise transactions that may not involve sustainable goods, but are effectively occurring to meet a clear sustainable goal as outlined in the Green or Social Loan Principles (e.g. transition finance to fund the development of clean energy sources, etc.). In practice, this proved very challenging, as despite having universal standards their application proved very subjective. For example, it was very difficult to evidence that textiles transactions had a primary sustainable purpose. As such, going forward ICC will revisit this dimension, for example, by tightening definitions further or only using it to acknowledge transition finance.
- ICC-approved standards: Feedback was largely positive on the ICC's list of approved standards for environmental and socioeconomic sustainability, with only a small handful of additional standards being recommended during the pilot. One challenge faced, however, was that the majority of textiles standards did not meet the ICC's bar of 'environmental sustainability'. This was because ICC set the criteria that any standard must measure impact on affordable and clean energy (SDG 7) and climate action (SDG 13), given the large regulatory focus on climate change and carbon. In reality, the majority of environmentally-led standards in the textiles sector focus on water management and pollution more prominently than climate, and hence were not ICC-recognised. Learning from this, ICC will recalibrate its criteria and potentially explore nuancing criteria by sector where necessary.
- **ESG scores:** The Wave 1 framework currently assesses transactions based on meeting industry standards for sustainability. However, an increasing number of companies and products are now being assessed using sustainability 'scores', which provide a quantitative 'scoring' of the sustainability credentials of a given transaction. Not only is this becoming more commonplace, but it is also more 'machine readable' (i.e. can be automated) than highly qualitative standards, making measurement and recording substantially easier. As such, ICC has mobilised an exercise to identify key ESG scoring mechanisms, pressure-test them and determine thresholds so that they can be recognised by the framework.
- Evidence: A common question from both banks and corporates was for more specific guidance on how to evidence their alignment with ICC-approved standards. Currently in the Wave 1 framework this is at the discretion of the bank, but throughout the pilot it became clear that banks would like to hold a high standard to avoid any risk of 'greenwashing'. This will be clarified in Wave 2, but may need to involve certificates where there is no central repository of certain standard holders.
- **Corporate incentives:** One of the main challenges in the pilot was that many corporates felt there were insufficient incentives to invest effort in supporting their banks complete the framework. A large number of corporates felt that supplying sustainability data to their banks is only worthwhile should they receive reduced financing costs. While ICC is not in a position to advise banks on the commercial terms they use with their clients, there is a belief that over the medium term it will no longer be sustainable to offer reduced fees for sustainable transactions. As such, we will need to increase efforts in Wave 2 to better articulate the other benefits of the framework to corporates to maximise engagement.
- Logistics and methodology: As expected, while participants largely found the framework, methodology and artefacts (forms, documentation etc.) clear to follow, many found the current manual process time-consuming and labour-intensive, limiting its ability to scale. As such, ICC will continue to look for solutions and partnerships to help automate large elements of the framework in the medium-to-long term.

Implications for Wave 2 framework

In line with the above, the pilot was highly insightful in terms of providing feedback on the framework, and clear direction on where to focus future efforts. With this in mind, ICC has been able to agree on five key focus areas for the Wave 2 framework:

- Incorporate feedback from the Wave 1 pilot, including recalibrating ICC-approved standards, being more explicit on evidence required, redesigning the 'purpose' component and reframing incentives for corporates
- Fully **incorporate 'ESG scores'** into the framework, so that these can be applied in addition to more qualitative standards
- Apply a **'graded scale' that conveys the 'degree of sustainability'** across any given component/ dimension, and provide an overall 'sustainability score' for a given transaction (at least for each dimension)
- · Increase the scope to three or four additional sectors (e.g. energy, automotive, agriculture)
- Incorporate a 'transportation' component into the framework

In addition to the above, ICC will also continue efforts to bring more automation to the framework and help set a longer-term operating model as the framework scales up and matures.

Next steps

In terms of next steps, ICC has now mobilised to commence work on Wave 2, engaging with pilot participants (hereon referred to as the 'Pilot Group') to test and iterate thinking as it progresses. All interested parties are also welcome to register for the 'Interest Group' (name changed from 'Review Group') to be kept to date with any developments.

From a timing perspective, the ambition is to launch Wave 2 at COP28 in November/December 2023. However, to help maintain momentum, and to allow pilot participants to continually test with clients, we plan to launch some elements of the new framework throughout the year. For example, we expect new sectors to launch – and be ready for testing – from late summer 2023.

Acknowledgments

ICC and the Boston Consulting Group are hugely appreciative of the contributors to this programme who have advised the project since its inception, members of the Sustainable Trade Finance Standards development group, pilot participants and their own clients, the International Trade Centre and many other individual contributors and experts.

We are always looking for new individuals, banks, corporates, and other organisations to become involved, so please feel free to contact us at <u>STFDpilot@iccwbo.org</u> for more information.

About the International Chamber of Commerce

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) is the institutional representative of more than 45 million companies in over 170 countries. ICC's core mission is to make business work for everyone, every day, everywhere. Through a unique mix of advocacy, solutions, and standard setting, we promote international trade, responsible business conduct and a global approach to regulation, in addition to providing market-leading dispute resolution services. Our members include many of the world's leading companies, SMEs, business associations, and local chambers of commerce.

For more information, please visit: www.iccwbo.org



About Boston Consulting Group (BCG)

BCG is a global management consulting firm and the world's leading advisor on business strategy. BCG partners with clients from the private, public, and not-for-profit sectors in all regions to identify their highest-value opportunities, address their most critical challenges, and transform their enterprises.

To help tackle climate change, advance racial equity, transition to a circular economy, boost economic development, create food systems and security, embrace large-scale renewables and clean technology, accelerate sustainable finance and investing, and build sustainable supply chains, BCG's sustainability consultants help clients transform their business models to optimise for social and business value. This transformation can take many forms, ranging from expanding value chains to building cross-sector models.

As part of our commitment to protecting our planet and helping our clients achieve sustainable competitive advantage, BCG is deepening and broadening our focus. The BCG Center for Climate & Sustainability brings together more than 550 experts covering the full range of sustainability topics, including biodiversity, circular economy, decarbonisation, sustainable agriculture, transition financing, water management, and other ESG topics—across all sectors—to support our clients around the world. As the exclusive COP27 consultancy partner, BCG will work alongside companies and governments to identify ways to mitigate global climate change.

BCG was founded in 1963. It is a private company with more than 90 offices in 50 countries.

For more information, please visit: www.bcg.com.